

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/632,238 07/31/2003 Nandakumar Vaidyanathan PTK-227 2542 21323 7590 05/25/2004 **EXAMINER** TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP FUNK, STEPHEN R HIGH STREET TOWER 125 HIGH STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BOSTON, MA 02110 . 2854

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Co		10/632,238	VAIDYANATHAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Stephen R Funk	2854
The MAILING DATE of to Period for Reply	this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing If the period for reply specified above is	be COMMUNICATION. Ider the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a replithe maximum statutory period of the priod for reply will, by statute in three months after the mailing.	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on		•
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		· action is non-final.	
			ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance wit	h the practice under F	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
	er and produced drider 2	A parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	7. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	,		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pend			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allo			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-27,29,30 and</u>	<u>d 32-34</u> is/are rejected	• •	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19,28 and 31</u>	is/are objected to.	•	
8) Claim(s) are subje	ect to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers	•	,	
9)☐ The specification is object	ted to by the Evaminer	•	•
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15</u>			Objected to but he Fund
Applicant may not request the	hat any objection to the d	Irawing(s) he held in abovan	objected to by the Examiner.
Replacement drawing sheet	(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Exa	aminer Note the attached	Office Action or form DTO 459
	Taylord to by the Exc	armier. Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for foreign	oriority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐			
1. ☐ Certified copies of t	the priority documents	have been received.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2. ☐ Certified copies of t	the priority documents	have been received in Ap	oplication No
3. Copies of the certifi	ed copies of the priorit	ty documents have been i	received in this National Stage
application from the	International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed C	Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not r	eceived.
and the second of the second o	in in the second of the second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	en e
144 a a la mana martina la man	•		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir	na Pavious (DTO 040)	4) Interview Su	immary (PTO-413)
B) 💹 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F	PTO-1449 or PTO/SR/08\	Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Inf	/Mail Date comal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3 1112 311 13/35/00)	6) Other:	(Grant Application (F10-102)

Application/Control Number: 10/632,238

Art Unit: 2854

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In paragraph 37 line 3 "with in" should be --within--, in paragraph 43 line 4 "the the" is repetitive, in paragraph 46 line 8 "physically separate device 540" is inconsistent with "readout meter 540" spanning pages 10 and 11, and in paragraph 65 line 2 "plate 913", second occurrence, should presumably be --cylinder 912--. Appropriate correction is required.

The drawings are objected to because reference numeral "1150b" is not shown in Figure 12. See paragraph 73 line 7 in the specification. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 1 - 19 and 21 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1 lines 4 and 6 "a cavity" would appear to be a double recitation of the same in line 3.

In claim 21 "advice" should be --device--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 22 - 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 22 line 1 "the interior of the cylinder" lacks proper antecedent basis. Furthermore, the cylinder has not even been recited as an element of the web-winding device. It is not clear if applicant is claiming only a web-winding device or a web-winding device in combination with a cylinder.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,238

Art Unit: 2854

In claims 23 and 24 there is no recitation of structure to allow the device to "travel". The claims are entirely functional without any recitation of structure to perform the claimed functions. Although functional recitations per se are not improper, a functional recitation without any supporting structure renders the scope of the claim indefinite since it is not clear what structure, if any, is encompassed by the claim.

In claim 25 there is no structure recited to perform the function of the sensing the tension of the web material or activating the tension adjuster. Note the comments above with respect to purely functional recitations.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20, 21, 23, 25 - 27, 29, 30, and 32 - 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by van Meijel et al. (US 3,737,230).

With respect to claim 20, van Meijel et al. teach a tension adjuster (16, 16', 17, 17') for adjusting a tension of a web (13, 14) by displacing a portion of the web in relation to a plane tangential to a contact point of a cylinder. See Figure 2 and column 4 lines 39 - 66 of van Meijel et al., for example.

With respect to claim 21 note the spool (15).

With respect to claim 23 note the linear trajectory (18).

With respect to claim 25, as broadly recited, the reversal of the spools creates a change in the tension of the web.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,238

Art Unit: 2854

With respect to claim 26 the method for adjusting the tension is inherent utilizing the tension adjuster of van Meijel et al. See again column 4 lines 39 - 66 of van Meijel et al.

With respect to claim 27 note that the web is always tangential to a surface of the cylinder.

With respect to claim 29 note the dancer rolls (16, 17).

With respect to claim 30 note the at least one pair of edges (9), cavity (8), and spool (15).

With respect to claim 32 the rounded edges (9) inherently have a different radius of curvature.

With respect to claim 33 at least one mediate location of the rolls (16, 17) would provide a contact point not on either edge. The claim does not specify when or how long the webs are not in contact with the edges.

With respect to claim 24 note the linear trajectory (18).

Claims 1 - 19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action. The prior art of record does not teach a displacement device in combination with first and second winding devices within a cylinder cavity. Furthermore, there is no proper motivation to provide both of the winding devices of van Meijel et al. within the cylinder.

Claims 28 and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record does not teach an angular displacement arm or a displacing spool.

Art Unit: 2854

Claim 24 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 22 could not be examined relative to the prior art at this time due to the indefiniteness of the claim.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note Figures 3 and 3a of Kusch et al. ('242) and Figure 3 of Fuhrmann et al. ('043).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen R. Funk whose telephone number is (571) 272-2164.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Hirshfeld, can be reached at (571) 272-2168.

The fax phone number for ALL official papers is (703) 872-9306. Upon consulting with the examiner *unofficial* papers only may be faxed directly to the examiner at (571) 273-2164.

SRF May 20, 2004

STEPHEN R. FUNK PRIMARY EXAMINER